

Special Issue: Specificity of plant-enemy interactions

Plants as alternative hosts for *Salmonella*

Adam Schikora¹, Ana V. Garcia² and Heribert Hirt²

¹ Institute for Plant Pathology and Applied Zoology, Research Centre for BioSystems, Land Use and Nutrition, JL University Giessen, Heinrich-Buff-Ring 26-32, 35392 Giessen, Germany

² URGV Plant Genomics, INRA/CNRS/University of Evry, 2 rue Gaston Crémieux, 91000 Evry, France

Recent findings show that many human pathogenic bacteria can use multiple host organisms. For example, Salmonella Typhimurium can use plants as alternative hosts to humans and other animals. These bacteria are able to adhere to plant surfaces and actively infect the interior of plants. Similarly to the infection of animal cells, S. Typhimurium suppresses plant defense responses by a type III secretion mechanism, indicating that these bacteria possess a dedicated multi-kingdom infection strategy, raising the guestion of host specificity. In addition, evidence is accumulating that the interaction of Salmonella with plants is an active process with different levels of specificity, because different Salmonella serovars show variations in pathogenicity, and different plant species reveal various levels of resistance towards these bacteria.

Plant-originated salmonellosis

Several reports indicated that bacteria, which are pathogenic to humans and other mammals, are able to infect plants. Salmonella enterica, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Burkholderia cepacia, Erwinia spp., Staphylococcus aureus, Escherichia coli O157:H7 and Listeria monocytogenes infect animals and plants [1–5]. Amongst these pathogens, Sal*monella* bacteria are the major cause of food poisoning. These Gram-negative enteropathogenic bacteria can successfully colonize animals, humans and plants. Their genus is divided into two species: Salmonella bongori and Salmonella enterica, encompassing several hundred isolates, which are typically named after the place of origin [6]. The species S. enterica is additionally divided into seven subspecies, one of them, S. enterica subsp. enterica, is the major cause of salmonellosis in humans. The most common mode of infection is ingestion of contaminated food or water. Moreover, many reports have linked food poisoning with the consumption of Salmonella-contaminated raw vegetables and fruits (for review see [2,7]). Studies in various European countries revealed that in 2007, 0.3-2.3% of raw vegetables were infected with Salmonella bacteria [8]. In the USA, the proportion of raw food-associated salmonellosis outbreaks increased from 0.7% in the 1960s to 6% in the 1990s [9], and crossed 25% in recent years [10]. Most studies on Salmonel*la*-plant interactions suggested an epiphytic lifestyle of Salmonella on plants. However, a growing body of evidence

points to a directed process in which the bacteria infect various plants and use them as viable hosts (Table 1) [11-22]. The ability to infect and grow on such diverse hosts is a remarkable example of the lack of specificity seen in so many other microbes (Figure 1).

Do plants serve as alternative hosts or are they part of the *Salmonella* life cycle?

Adhesion is typically the first step of an infection by Salmonella. Diverse S. enterica serovars have been shown to adhere to plant surfaces, and many Salmonella serovars bind to plants significantly better than for instance the pathogenic E. coli strain O157:H7 [23]. Evidence suggests that Salmonella actively attach to plant tissues and only viable bacteria can successfully colonize plants [19]. In a screen of 6000 S. Newport mutants, 20 mutants were identified with lower attachment ability to Medicago sativa (alfalfa) sprouts [12]. Interestingly, some of the genes identified in this study code for the surface-exposed aggregative fimbria nucleator curli (agfB) and for the global stress regulator *rpoS* which regulates the production of curli, cellulose and other adhesins that are important also for animal pathogenicity. AgfD, which was also identified in this study, plays not only a central role in the ability to attach to plant surfaces [24], but also in the environmental fitness and the pathogenicity of the bacteria toward animals [25]. In addition, it was shown that *yihO* (involved in O-antigen capsule formation) and bcsA (coding for a cellulose synthase) are also important for adhesion to alfalfa sprouts [24], whereas cellulose and curli are involved in transmission of S. Typhimurium from water onto parsley (Petroselinum hortense) leaves [26]. In another study, two previously uncharacterized genes (STM0278 and STM0650) were characterized as important factors for the infection of alfalfa sprouts, due to their essential role in biofilm formation and swarming [11]. It is thus becoming evident that the genetic equipment of Salmonella, previously thought to be animal-infection specific, plays an important role in the infection of animals and plants alike. Surprisingly, a comparative study on the internal colonization in lettuce (*Lactuca sativa*) leaves by five S. enterica serovars (Dublin, Enteritidis, Montevideo, Newport and Typhimurium) indicated significant differences between the different serovars, indicating that distinct genetic backgrounds have an impact on the pathogenic behavior towards plants [16]. A similar study conducted on the

Corresponding author: Hirt, H. (hirt@evry.inra.fr).

Salmonella strain	Infected plant	Main finding	Refs
S. Anatum DMST 19600	Cabbage	Temperature-dependent susceptibility to infection	[46]
S. enterica Dublin	Lettuce	Colonization of lettuce and transcriptome of response to infection	[47]
S. enterica, diverse serovars	Lettuce	Different serovars vary in their colonizing capacity	[16]
S. enterica, diverse serovars	Arabidopsis	Strains from O-serogroup induce chlorosis and wilting in Arabidopsis	[30]
S. enterica, diverse serovars	Tomato, pepper	Cultivar-dependent colonization, trichomes as infection point	[13]
S. enterica, diverse serovars	Lettuce, cabbage	Serovar-dependent divergences in attachment to leaves	[27]
S. Newport	Alfalfa	Identification of two new genes required for attachment to plants	[11]
S. Newport	Alfalfa	Screen of 6000 mutants for their ability to attach to plant surface	[12]
S. Newport, Enteriditis, mutants	Alfalfa	Cellulose and O-antigen capsule play role in the attachment to plants	[24]
S. Thompson RM1987	Lettuce	Increased infection was observed in elderly leaves	[48]
S. Typhimurium	Barley (<i>Hordeum vulgare</i>)	Colonization of barley roots	[49]
S. Typhimurium	Potato (<i>Solanum tuberosum</i>)	Attachment to plant surface is an active process	[19]
S. Typhimurium 14028	Tomato fruits	Screen for bacterial genes expressed upon plant infection	[18]
S. Typhimurium 14028	Arabidopsis	Plants induce defense mechanisms after infection, bacteria internalized in plants cells	[20]
S. Typhimurium 14028	Arabidopsis	Suppression of plant immune system is T3SS-dependent	[21]
S. Typhimurium 14028, 1344	Tobacco	Wild type bacteria suppress plant defense reactions	[45]
S. Typhimurium DT104	Lettuce	A passage via lettuce increased attachment capacity to epithelial cells	[50]
S. Typhimurium MAE110, MAE119	Tomato	Bacteria spread systemically and colonize non-infected leaves and fruits	[22]
S. Typhimurium SL1344	Lettuce	Internalization via stomata is light dependent and requires chemotaxis	[17]
S. Typhimurium SL1344	Diverse	Internalization of bacteria varies between leafy vegetables	[14]
S. Typhimurium 14028	Arabidopsis	Plant defense is required for resistance towards Salmonella	[15]

Table 1. Known interactions between Salmonella and plants^a

^aThe majority of the studies focus on different serovars of *S. enterica* subspecies *enterica* interacting with *Arabidopsis* or plants traditionally associated with salmonellosis outbreaks such as lettuce, tomato and alfalfa. The list presented here summarizes the research on the interaction between *Salmonella* and the plant immune system, as well as the genetic requirement to infect plants. Due to length restrictions, it is impossible to cover comprehensively the broad literature of different plant-originated outbreaks and the anti-microbial activity of diverse plants.

serovars Braenderup, Negev, Newport, Tennessee and Thompson, likewise revealed differences between the tested serovars [27]. Interestingly, the authors pointed out a correlation between the capacity to produce biofilms and the attachment to leaves, with S. Thompson producing the strongest biofilms and showing the most efficient adhesion to lettuce leaves [27].

Salmonella can live inside plants

In animals, Salmonella actively enter epithelial and other cell types in order to replicate and spread through the organism. The question whether Salmonella use a similar strategy to infect plants is therefore of great interest. Salmonella were found to form biofilm-like structures on the surface of roots, preferentially colonizing regions around emerging lateral roots and wounded tissues [15,20]. The formation of biofilms of Salmonella on leaves was also reported. Recently, three reports presented the possible entry points of bacteria to the inner layers of leaves [13,14,17] and it was postulated that trichomes are preferential colonization sites [13]. By contrast, it was shown that Salmonella use stomata as entry points in order to penetrate lettuce leaves [17]. Moreover, bacterial aggregation near stomata occurs only under light conditions when the stomata are open. Artificial opening of the stomata in the dark had no impact on the bacterial behavior, suggesting that bacteria are attracted to photosynthesis-dependent products. Previously, we showed that the GFP-marked S. Typhimurium 14028s bacteria can be observed inside root hairs at 3 h, and bacterial titers increased at 20 h after inoculation of Arabidopsis plants [20].

Additional tests revealed that motility and the ability of chemotaxis are essential for Salmonella to colonize the interior of lettuce leaves [17]. In a follow-up report, the same group demonstrated that not all plants are equally susceptible (or resistant) to Salmonella internal infection. Using GFP-marked bacteria, the authors analyzed the internalization of the S. Typhimurium strain 1344 in many leafy vegetables and herbs [14]. In the same year, another study reported that S. Typhimurium strain MAE110 is able to translocate within tomato (Solanum lycopersicum) plants, infecting distal, non-infected leaves and fruits without visible symptoms and only slightly reducing plant growth [22]. Interestingly, while some plant species [e.g. arugula (Diplotaxis tenuifolia)], allowed Salmonella to internalize, some others (e.g. parsley), seemed to have effective means to prevent infection [14]. Studies on lettuce, cabbage (Brassica oleracea) and tomato demonstrated significant differences in the susceptibility to Salmonella infection [13,16], pointing to an important role of plant innate immunity in modulating the response to infection by these bacteria.

By contrast, pathogenic bacteria often use type III secretion system (T3SS)-dependent injection of effector proteins in order to modulate host physiology and suppress the immune system. To answer the question whether *Salmonella* rely on T3SS for infection of plants, mutants in two *Salmonella* T3SS were tested for their performance on plants. Both of the T3SS mutants are unable to inject effector proteins into host cells and are therefore not virulent for animal hosts [28,29]. Although these T3SS mutant strains showed normal proliferation rates when grown in standard medium, their proliferation in



Figure 1. Wild type *Salmonella* are able to attach to plant surfaces and infect plants via stomata openings or roots. Upon infection, *Salmonella* hinder the enhanced production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) and prevent pH changes in the apoplast. Moreover, *Salmonella* actively prevent the transcriptional activation of defense-related genes. Abbreviations: MAMP, microbe-associated molecular pattern (yellow circles); MAPK, mitogen-activated protein kinase; PRR, pattern recognition receptor; T3SS, type III secretion system; TF, transcription factor; red circles represent *Salmonella* effectors; green circles represent products of defense-related genes.

Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana) plants was compromised, indicating that both SPI-1- and SPI-2-encoded type III secretion systems are needed for successful plant infection [21].

Plant responses to Salmonella infection

Upon inoculation, Arabidopsis responds to Salmonella with a rapid induction of defense responses, including the activation of mitogen-activated protein kinases MPK3, MPK4 and MPK6 that is followed by the expression of a number of defense genes, such as PDF1.2 or the pathogenesis-related genes PR2 and PR4 [20]. Transcriptome analysis of Arabidopsis plants showed differential expression of about 250 and 1300 genes at 2 and 24 h after Salmonella infection, respectively. With the exception of 32 genes, the Salmonella-induced differentially expressed genes were also affected by inoculation with the non-pathogenic E. coli laboratory strain DH5 α and the pathogenic Pseudomonas syringae strain DC3000 [21]. Among the genes that were induced by E. coli DH5 α , S. Typhimurium 14028 and P. syringae DC3000, about 160 (including various WRKY and bZIP transcription factors as well as protein kinases and phosphatases) could be identified as a core set of Arabidopsis genes responsive to common bacterial exposure [21].

Towards identification of the plant *Salmonella* receptors

A recent study examined the macroscopic symptoms of wilting and chlorosis in Arabidopsis plants after infiltration with different serovars of S. enterica subsp. enterica, as well as S. enterica subsp. arizonae and diarizonae [30]. Infiltration with S. Senftenberg and also with S. Cannstatt, Krefeld and Liverpool, all of which belong to the serogroup E_4 (O: 1, 3, 19) possessing the O-antigen, resulted in rapid wilting and chlorosis. By contrast, infiltration with serovars lacking the O-antigen provoked no symptoms [30]. In addition, the authors stated that the response to Salmonella infiltration is independent of the most prominent and studied pattern recognition receptors, suggesting that specific receptors for Salmonella O-antigen could exist in Arabidopsis.

Salmonella factors interacting with the plant immune system

In humans, salmonellosis develops after the bacteria enter epithelial cells of the intestine [31]. Although a typical infection usually leads to a self-limiting gastroenteritis, *Salmonella* can cause systemic infections by invading spleen, liver and other organs in susceptible hosts. Studies of the infection mechanisms in animals have shown that Salmonella actively remodel the host cell physiology and architecture, and suppress the host immune system by injecting a cocktail of effectors delivered by T3SS. A recently published literature survey revealed a standard list of 62 protein-protein interactions between 22 Salmonella proteins and numerous human proteins [32]. Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica has two distinct T3SSs, T3SS-1 and T3SS-2, encoded by the Salmonella Pathogenicity Islands (SPI) SPI-1 and SPI-2, respectively [33,34]. T3SS-1 secretes at least 16 proteins of which six were shown to interact with the host signaling cascades and the cvtoskeleton. T3SS-2 secretes at least 19 S. entericaspecific effector proteins that are involved in survival and multiplication within the host cell [35,36]. The expression and the secretion of SPI-1 and SPI-2 encoded effectors are tightly regulated. Recently, the cytoplasmic SpaO–OrgA–OrgB complex was identified as the sorting platform for T3SS effectors that determines the appropriate hierarchy for protein secretion [37]. This complex enables the sequential delivery of translocases before the secretion of the actual effectors. The authors also described the role of specific chaperones in the recognition and loading of effectors into the sorting SpaO-OrgA-OrgB complex, and postulated that similar sorting platforms might exist in other bacteria [37]. Even though many reports suggest that the mechanisms used by Salmonella to infect animal and plant hosts could be similar, the role of Salmonella T3SS effectors during plant infections remains unclear. To date, 44 Salmonella effectors have been described to be injected into animal and human cells through one or both T3SSs (reviewed in [38]). Several of these effectors target MAPK cascades, which are important regulators of the immune response in animals and plants. SpvC from Salmonella spp. belongs to the OspF family initially identified in *Shigella* spp. OspF encodes a phosphothreonine lyase that dephosphorylates the pTXpY double phosphorylated activation loop in the ERK1/2 kinases [39-41]. Interestingly, P. syringae HopAI1 is a homolog of SpvC/OspF, and encodes a phosphothreonine lyase that dephosphorylates the threonine residue in the activation loop of activated MAPKs [42]. When expressed in Arabidopsis, HopAI1 directly interacts with MPK3 and MPK6, attenuating flg22-induced MAPK activation and downstream defense responses [40-42]. Besides OspF/SpvC/HopAI1, also the Pseudomonas effector HopPtoD2 has homologs in human pathogenic bacteria. HopPtoD2 is a tyrosine phosphatase which inhibits pathogen-triggered programmed cell death [43], while its homolog from Salmonella SptP, inhibits phosphorylation and membrane localization of Rafkinase and therefore the activation of ERK2 [44]. It is tempting to speculate that the biochemical features of these effectors are conserved between animal and plant hosts, providing Salmonella and other pathogenic bacteria with efficient tools for suppressing the host immune systems. A suppression of the defense responses was recently reported during the infection of tobacco (Nicotiana tabacum) plants with S. Typhimurium. In contrast to living Salmonella, dead or chloramphenicol-treated bacteria elicited an oxidative burst and pH changes in tobacco cells [45], indicating that Salmonella actively engages in the suppression of the plant defense responses. Similar conclusions were reached when comparing the Arabidopsis responses against S. Typhimurium wild type and the T3SS mutants invA or prgH, which lack a functional T3SS [21,45]. Whereas Salmonella wild type and prgH mutants provoke changes in more than 1600 Arabidopsis genes after 24 h, a group of 649 genes is specifically induced by infection with the T3SS mutant. Many of these *prgH*-specific genes encode proteins related to pathogen responses and ubiquitin-mediated protein degradation. This group of genes also includes BAK1, BIK1, WRKY18 and WRKY33, EIN3, PR4 and PUB23, all of which are marker genes that are upregulated upon plant pathogen infections. The lower expression level of those genes upon infection with wild type Salmonella suggests that the T3SS mutant is unable to employ an effective immune suppression mechanism. These results suggest that Salmonella depend on the T3SS during plant infection and actively suppress immune responses.

Concluding remarks

Along with *E. coli*, *Salmonella* belong to the best-studied bacteria today. The growing number of human infections with pathogenic bacteria derived from vegetables and fruits raise the question of the host specificity mechanisms of these bacteria. Recent reports clearly demonstrate that *Salmonella* not only passively survive, but also actively infect plants. Moreover, infection of plants depends on the active suppression of the host immune responses by *Salmonella*. Further studies are clearly warranted to uncover the extent to which the factors and mechanisms employed by *Salmonella* to infect animals are also used against plants and will likely lead to a better understanding of the evolution of specificity.

Acknowledgments

The work of AVG and HH is supported from a grant of the ERANET Systems Biology project SHIPREC (Salmonella Host Interaction Project European Consortium). The authors would like to apologize to all colleagues whose work could not be cited because of space limitations.

References

- 1 Haapalainen, M. et al. (2009) Soluble plant cell signals induce the expression of the type III secretion system of *Pseudomonas syringae* and upregulate the production of pilus protein HrpA. *Mol. Plant Microbe Interact.* 22, 282–290
- 2 Holden, N. *et al.* (2009) Colonization outwith the colon: plants as an alternative environmental reservoir for human pathogenic enterobacteria. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* 33, 689–703
- 3 Plotnikova, J.M. et al. (2000) Pathogenesis of the human opportunistic pathogen Pseudomonas aeruginosa PA14 in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol. 124, 1766–1774
- 4 Prithiviraj, B. et al. (2005) Staphylococcus aureus pathogenicity on Arabidopsis thaliana is mediated either by a direct effect of salicylic acid on the pathogen or by SA-dependent, NPR1-independent host responses. Plant J. 42, 417–432
- 5 Milillo, S.R. et al. (2008) Growth and persistence of Listeria monocytogenes isolates on the plant model Arabidopsis thaliana. Food Microbiol. 25, 698–704
- 6 Lan, R. et al. (2009) Population structure, origins and evolution of major Salmonella enterica clones. Infect. Genet. Evol. 9, 996–1005
- 7 Brandl, M.T. (2006) Fitness of human enteric pathogens on plants and implications for food safety. Annu. Rev. Phytopathol. 44, 367–392
- 8 Westrell, T. *et al.* (2009) Zoonotic infections in Europe in 2007: a summary of the EFSA-ECDC annual report. *Euro Surveill.* 14
- 9 Sivapalasingam, S. *et al.* (2004) Fresh produce: a growing cause of outbreaks of foodborne illness in the United States, 1973 through 1997. *J. Food Prot.* 67, 2342–2353

- 10 Rangel, J.M. et al. (2005) Epidemiology of Escherichia coli O157:H7 outbreaks, United States, 1982–2002. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 11, 603–609
- 11 Barak, J.D. et al. (2009) Previously uncharacterized Salmonella enterica genes required for swarming play a role in seedling colonization. Microbiology 155, 3701–3709
- 12 Barak, J.D. et al. (2005) Salmonella enterica virulence genes are required for bacterial attachment to plant tissue. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 71, 5685–5691
- 13 Barak, J.D. et al. (2011) Colonization of tomato plants by Salmonella enterica is cultivar dependent, and type 1 trichomes are preferred colonization sites. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 77, 498–504
- 14 Golberg, D. *et al.* (2011) Salmonella Typhimurium internalization is variable in leafy vegetables and fresh herbs. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* 145, 250–257
- 15 Iniguez, A.L. et al. (2005) Regulation of enteric endophytic bacterial colonization by plant defenses. Mol. Plant Microbe Interact. 18, 169–178
- 16 Klerks, M.M. et al. (2007) Differential interaction of Salmonella enterica servors with lettuce cultivars and plant-microbe factors influencing the colonization efficiency. ISME J. 1, 620–631
- 17 Kroupitski, Y. et al. (2009) Internalization of Salmonella enterica in leaves is induced by light and involves chemotaxis and penetration through open stomata. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 75, 6076–6086
- 18 Noel, J.T. et al. (2010) Specific responses of Salmonella enterica to tomato varieties and fruit ripeness identified by in vivo expression technology. PLoS ONE 5, e12406
- 19 Saggers, E.J. et al. (2008) Salmonella must be viable in order to attach to the surface of prepared vegetable tissues. J. Appl. Microbiol. 105, 1239–1245
- 20 Schikora, A. et al. (2008) The dark side of the salad: Salmonella Typhimurium overcomes the innate immune response of Arabidopsis thaliana and shows an endopathogenic lifestyle. PLoS ONE 3, e2279
- 21 Schikora, A. et al. (2011) Conservation of Salmonella infection mechanisms in plants and animals. PLoS ONE 6, e24112
- 22 Gu, G. et al. (2011) Internal colonization of Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium in tomato plants. PLoS ONE 6, e27340
- 23 Barak, J.D. et al. (2002) Differences in attachment of Salmonella enterica serovars and Escherichia coli O157:H7 to alfalfa sprouts. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 68, 4758–4763
- 24 Barak, J.D. et al. (2007) The role of cellulose and O-antigen capsule in the colonization of plants by Salmonella enterica. Mol. Plant Microbe Interact. 20, 1083–1091
- 25 Gibson, D.L. et al. (2006) Salmonella produces an O-antigen capsule regulated by AgfD and important for environmental persistence. J. Bacteriol, 188, 7722–7730
- 26 Lapidot, A. and Yaron, S. (2009) Transfer of Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium from contaminated irrigation water to parsley is dependent on curli and cellulose, the biofilm matrix components. J. Food Prot. 72, 618–623
- 27 Patel, J. and Sharma, M. (2010) Differences in attachment of Salmonella enterica serovars to cabbage and lettuce leaves. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 139, 41–47
- 28 Behlau, I. and Miller, S.I. (1993) A PhoP-repressed gene promotes Salmonella Typhimurium invasion of epithelial cells. J. Bacteriol. 175, 4475–4484
- 29 Hensel, M. et al. (1997) Functional analysis of ssaJ and the ssaK/U operon, 13 genes encoding components of the type III secretion apparatus of Salmonella Pathogenicity Island 2. Mol. Microbiol. 24, 155–167

- 30 Berger, C.N. et al. (2011) Salmonella enterica strains belonging to O serogroup 1,3,19 induce chlorosis and wilting of Arabidopsis thaliana leaves. Environ. Microbiol. 13, 1299–1308
- 31 Patel, J.C. et al. (2005) The functional interface between Salmonella and its host cell: opportunities for therapeutic intervention. Trends Pharmacol. Sci. 26, 564–570
- 32 Schleker, S. et al. (2011) The current Salmonella-host interactome. Proteomics Clin. Appl. 6, 117–133
- 33 Collazo, C.M. and Galan, J.E. (1997) The invasion-associated type-III protein secretion system in Salmonella–a review. *Gene* 192, 51–59
- 34 Hensel, M. (2000) Salmonella pathogenicity island 2. Mol. Microbiol. 36, 1015–1023
- 35 Kuhle, V. and Hensel, M. (2004) Cellular microbiology of intracellular Salmonella enterica: functions of the type III secretion system encoded by Salmonella pathogenicity island 2. Cell. Mol. Life Sci. 61, 2812–2826
- 36 Waterman, S.R. and Holden, D.W. (2003) Functions and effectors of the Salmonella pathogenicity island 2 type III secretion system. *Cell. Microbiol.* 5, 501–511
- 37 Lara-Tejero, M. et al. (2011) A sorting platform determines the order of protein secretion in bacterial type III systems. Science 331, 1188–1191
- 38 Heffron, F. et al. (2011) Salmonella-secreted virulence factors. In Salmonella. From Genome to Function (Porwollik, S., ed), pp. 187– 223, Caister Academic Press
- 39 Mazurkiewicz, P. et al. (2008) SpvC is a Salmonella effector with phosphothreonine lyase activity on host mitogen-activated protein kinases. Mol. Microbiol. 67, 1371–1383
- 40 Arbibe, L. et al. (2007) An injected bacterial effector targets chromatin access for transcription factor NF-kappaB to alter transcription of host genes involved in immune responses. Nat. Immunol. 8, 47–56
- 41 Li, H. *et al.* (2007) The phosphothreonine lyase activity of a bacterial type III effector family. *Science* 315, 1000–1003
- 42 Zhang, J. et al. (2007) A Pseudomonas syringae effector inactivates MAPKs to suppress PAMP-induced immunity in plants. Cell Host Microbe 1, 175–185
- 43 Espinosa, A. et al. (2003) The Pseudomonas syringae type III-secreted protein HopPtoD2 possesses protein tyrosine phosphatase activity and suppresses programmed cell death in plants. Mol. Microbiol. 49, 377–387
- 44 Lin, S.L. et al. (2003) SptP, a Salmonella typhimurium type IIIsecreted protein, inhibits the mitogen-activated protein kinase pathway by inhibiting Raf activation. Cell. Microbiol. 5, 267–275
- 45 Shirron, N. and Yaron, S. (2011) Active suppression of early immune response in tobacco by the human pathogen *Salmonella* Typhimurium. *PLoS ONE* 6, e18855
- 46 Hawaree, N. et al. (2009) Effects of drying temperature and surface characteristics of vegetable on the survival of Salmonella. J. Food Sci. 74, E16–E22
- 47 Klerks, M.M. et al. (2007) Physiological and molecular responses of Lactuca sativa to colonization by Salmonella enterica serovar Dublin. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 73, 4905–4914
- 48 Brandl, M.T. and Amundson, R. (2008) Leaf age as a risk factor in contamination of lettuce with *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 and *Salmonella enterica*. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 74, 2298–2306
- 49 Kutter, S. et al. (2006) Colonization of barley (Hordeum vulgare) with Salmonella enterica and Listeria spp. FEMS Microbiol. Ecol. 56, 262–271
- 50 Oliveira, M. et al. (2011) Pathogenic potential of Salmonella Typhimurium DT104 following sequential passage through soil, packaged fresh-cut lettuce and a model gastrointestinal tract. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 148, 149–155